## Spring Quarter 2019 <br> Selected Evaluations* (2)

Student Evaluation of Teaching

| Enrollment 246 <br> \% responding 42\% | 5 |  | 4 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  |  |  | $\bar{x}$ | SD | M | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | \% | 4 | \% | 3 | \% | 2 | \% | 1 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Please indicate the overall educational value of the course. (excellent \| very good | satisfactory | fair | poor) | 88 | 84\% | 15 | 14\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.8 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 105 |
| UCD Grade Point Average: (5) 4-3.6, (4) 3.5-3.1, (3) 3-2.6, (2) 2.5-2.1, (1) 2 or below | 43 | 42\% | 46 | 45\% | 14 | 14\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.3 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 103 |
| Expected grade in this course: (5) A, (4) B, (3) C, (2) D, (1) F | 95 | 90\% | 8 | 8\% | 2 | 2\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.9 | 0.4 | 5.0 | 105 |
| Your interest in the subject matter before taking this course: (5) Very high, (4) Somewhat high, (3) Moderate, (2) Low, (1) Very low | 50 | 48\% | 22 | 21\% | 21 | 20\% | 7 | 7\% | 4 | 4\% | 4.0 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 104 |
| Please indicate the overall teaching effectiveness of the teaching assistant. (excellent \| very good | satisfactory | fair | poor) | 72 | 71\% | 16 | 16\% | 12 | 12\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 4.5 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 102 |
| TA demonstrates knowledge and command of the subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 66 | 73\% | 14 | 16\% | 9 | 10\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 90 |
| TA is well prepared for section. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 56 | 77\% | 8 | 11\% | 8 | 11\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 73 |
| TA is effective in encouraging student participation. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, <br> (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 61 | 73\% | 14 | 17\% | 7 | 8\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 83 |
| TA encourages students to express opinions and respects divergent points of view. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 62 | 74\% | 13 | 15\% | 9 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 84 |
| TA is responsive to questions and student requests. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 67 | 75\% | 11 | 12\% | 9 | 10\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 2\% | 4.6 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 89 |
| TA explains and clarifies difficult material. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 64 | 75\% | 12 | 14\% | 9 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 85 |
| TA clearly defines expectations of student. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, <br> (1) Poor | 60 | 74\% | 12 | 15\% | 9 | 11\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 81 |
| TA provides helpful comments on assignments. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor | 53 | 63\% | 20 | 24\% | 10 | 12\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 1\% | 4.5 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 84 |
| TA helps the student appreciate course topics. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) <br> Fair, (1) Poor | 59 | 74\% | 12 | 15\% | 8 | 10\% | 1 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 4.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 80 |

TA demonstrates knowledge and command of the subject matter. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

| Highly knowledgeable. |
| :--- |
| Isaac Hale is the homie |
| I didn't interact with the TAs |
| The guest lecture he did was dry, confusing, and unclear. |
| EU election lecture was outstanding! |

TA is well prepared for section. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
Isaac Hale is the homie
TA is effective in encouraging student participation. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
Isaac Hale is the homie
Has done a guest lecture and was very well prepared and encouraged questions.
TA encourages students to express opinions and respects divergent points of view. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

Isaac Hale is the homie
TA is responsive to questions and student requests. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

Isaac Hale is the homie
Ignored multiple emails. Even when I would talk to him in class he wouldn't follow through.
TA explains and clarifies difficult material. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
Isaac Hale is the homie
TA clearly defines expectations of student. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

TA provides helpful comments on assignments. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor

TA helps the student appreciate course topics. (5) Excellent, (4) Very good, (3) Good, (2) Fair, (1) Poor
Isaac Hale is the homie
Not too fair grading I believe TA on German Politics was fairer in grading
Issac seems super hyped about this material and is a future Jim Adams

| Term | Eval Opened | CRN | Subject | Course | Section | Enrollment | \% Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Spring Quarter 2019 | $5 / 30 / 20199: 05$ AM | 92565 | POL | 147 C | 001 | 123 | 8 |
| Spring Quarter 2019 | $5 / 31 / 20199: 10$ AM | 92565 | POL | 147 C | 001 | 123 | 77 |

